

# ANCR Digital Transparency Performance Scheme: Parts 1 and 2

2 3

1

## Conformity & Compliance Assessment v0.9.9

4

13

14

15

ANCR refers to an Anchored Notice & Consent Receipt, it is a record that is generated using the Transparency Performance Indicator assessment, which provides a standard measure of operational performance of the present PII Controller's security and privacy session information.
Editor(s):
Mark Lizar, WG Editor

#### 12 Contributors:

- Sal D'Agostino, ANCR WG Chair
- Sharon Polski
- Paul Knowles

#### 16 Reviewers:

47	Cini Annonini ANCO MC Comptons
17 18	Gigi Agassini, ANCR WG Secretary
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
	Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2017 Kantara Initiative. Inc.

Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2017 Kantara Initiative, Inc www.kantarainitiative.org

IPR OPTION- ERROR! AUTOTEXT ENTRY NOT DEFINED.

32	Conformity & Compliance Assessment v0.9.91
33	NOTICE
34	Conditions for use
35	Dear reader,5
36	Abstract6
37	Scheme Applicability6
38	1 Terms & Definitions8
39	Normative to Council of Europe, Convention 108+,8
40	Introduction9
41	Why was this specification written?10
42	Why Transparency Performance Indicators?11
43	About the Scheme11
44 45 46	The TPIs here are used to assess session-based data capture and self-asserted information by organizations to specify a Public level of Trust Assurance that is provided in an online context
47	TPI 1 - Measuring the Timing of PII Controller Identity Notification:
48	TPI 2 - Measures Required Data Elements13
49	TPI 3 - Measure of Transparency Accessibility14
50	TPI 4: A Measures security information integrity15
51	TPI Metrics
52	Table 1: Transparency Performance Rating16
53	Table 2: Transparency Performance Indicator Record Rating Example
54	Summary
55	Appendix A: TPI Compliance Assessment Scheme Part 2
56	A.1 Operational Transparency Assessment
57	Appendix B: TPI Assessment Guidance21
58	B.1 TPIs are captured in sequence21
59	B.2 TPI – Scheme 1, Part 1(S1-P1) metric logic22
60	B.3 1.2. Table 2 : ANCR Record Schema Example23
61	Appendix C: Digital Transparency Code of Conduct
62	Endnotes
63	

64

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

#### 65

#### 66 **IPR Option:**

This ANCR Record Specification is required to be open, as specified under a Patent &
Copyright: Reciprocal Royalty Free with Opt-out to Reasonable and Nondiscriminatory (RAND) license agreement at the Kantara Initiative for submission to
ISO/IEC SC 27 WG 5.

71 Any derivative use of this specification must be in conformance with the associated

transparency Code of Conduct<sup>1</sup>, be open and free and not create any dependency that

73 limits or restricts the use, accessibility, and availability of digital transparency or the

74 ability for the PII Principal to provide and manage their own consent.

#### 75 Suggested Citation: (upon WG approval)

76 ANCR Digital Transparency Performance Scheme, Part 1 & 2 v1.0

## 77 NOTICE

This specification relies on (open access to) ISO/IEC 29100 Security and privacy
 techniques, to generate a notice receipt, which is stored in an ANCR consent record
 format for conformity assessment as specified in the Kantara Initiative <u>Consent Receipt</u>
 v1.1.<sup>2</sup>

82

#### 83 Conditions for use

License Condition: This specification is solely used for assessing conformance to the 84 Transparency Code of Conduct (Appendix C), for implementing the Council of Europe 85 108+ Chapter III, Rights of the Data Subject, Section 1 Transparency, and modalities, 86 87 Article 14, 1 - 8. This Transparency Code of Conduct is internationally representative of 88 notice and consent legal and social requirements. It can be represented today in the form of privacy policy links, physical signage, digital cookies and security or privacy 89 90 notices. These are found when accessing public and digital service spaces, in all 91 domains and jurisdictions, and are to be referenced as practices, which MUST 92 implement, or support the implementation of this Transparency Code of Conduct for 93 transparency modalities.

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transparency Code of Conduct, to implement Transparency Modalities – Appendix C\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Consent receipt v1, CISWG Kantara Initiative <u>https://kantarainitiative.org/download/7902/</u>

94

95 This document has been prepared by participants of Kantara Initiative Inc ANCR-WG. 96 Permission is hereby granted to use the document solely for the purpose of 97 implementing the Specification for public benefit. No rights are granted to prepare 98 derivative works of this Specification. Entities seeking permission to reproduce this 99 document, in whole or in part, for other uses must contact the Kantara Initiative to 100 determine whether an appropriate license for such use is available.

Implementation or use of this document may require licenses under third party 101 intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights. The Participants 102 103 and any other contributors to the Specification are not and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third-104 party intellectual property rights. This Specification is provided "AS IS," and no 105 Participant in Kantara Initiative makes any warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, 106 107 including any implied warranties of merchantability, non-infringement of third-party 108 intellectual property rights, or fitness for a particular purpose. Implementers of this Specification are advised to review the Kantara Initiative's website (Kantara Initiative: 109 Trust through ID Assurance ) for information concerning any Necessary Claims 110 Disclosure Notices that have been received by the Kantara Initiative Board of Directors. 111

## 113 Dear reader,

Thank you for downloading this publication prepared by the international community
of experts that comprise the Kantara Initiative. Kantara is a global non-profit 'commons'
dedicated to improving trustworthy use of digital identity and personal data through

117 innovation, standardization, and good practice.

Kantara is known around the world for incubating innovative concepts, operating Trust
Frameworks to assure digital identity and privacy service providers and developing
community-led best practices and specifications. Its efforts are acknowledged by OECD
ITAC, UNCITRAL, ISO SC27, other consortia and governments around the world.
"Nurture, Develop, Operate" captures the rhythm of Kantara in consolidating an
inclusive, equitable digital economy offering value and benefit to all.

Every publication, in every domain, is capable of improvement. Kantara welcomes and
values your contribution through <u>membership</u>, <u>sponsorship</u> and active participation in
the <u>working group</u> that produced this and participation in all our endeavors so that
Kantara can reflect its value back to you and your organization.

129	Copyright:	The	content	of	this	document	is	copyright	of	Kantara	Initiative,	lnc.
130	© 2023 Kar	ntara	Initiative	Ind	с.							

131			
132			
133			
134			
135			
136			
137			
138			
139			
140			
141			
142			
143			

#### ANCD. Divital Transnaranov Parformance Scheme

## 144 Abstract

In context of processing personally identifiable information a PII Principal is not able to see
who is processing their data or is not notified when their data is disclosed. As a result, today,
the Individual is not able to trust the use of digital identity technologies and digital trust.

- At this time there is little transparency over required digital security and privacy online. This is largely due to outdated record
- Transparency varies from service to service and as a result it is impossible for
   people to see and trust how they are being identified as well as what is happening
   with their own data.
- Even so, the requirement to identify the legal entity and the accountable person to the PII Principal is a universal requirement for all data processing activities unless explicitly derogated by legislated law or policy for a specific legal justification and context.
- 157 If the PII Principal is not able to see how PII (Personally Identifiable Information) is
   158 shared, disclosed, or managed it is not possible to make the choice to trust the service
   159 processing PII.

160 For people, consent by default requires assurances that personal data is being

161 processed and transparency in a meaningful and operationally manner Standard and 162 operational transparency enabled by standardized schema, and record formats (Notice 163 Receipts) so that people keep and own to control personal information and private AI. 164 what can make consent meaningful by default. To create and scale trust in digital 165 contexts a Digital Transparency Code of Conduct is introduced to simplify and clarify 166 requirements and the use of CoE 108+ Chapter 1 Transparency Modalities, which is mirrored in the GDPR Article 12, 'Transparent information, communication and 167 modalities for the exercise of the rights of the data subject'. 168

- 169 Scheme Applicability
- All data processing must have a record of notified processing activity. In order to be digitally transparent, unless required not to be by legal derogation. In such an instance, the processing must be transparent to the appropriate regulatory authority, according to the context of processing.
- This applies to all services and every stakeholder, PII Controller, PII Processor, PII
   Principal's, the PII Co-Regulating Authority and delegates.
- All processing with consent requires a record of the privacy notice and privacy policy
   link, which in this document is referred to as a Notice Receipt, also known as the
   ANCR record of consent, and referred to as a consent record in ISO/IEC 27560
   Consent record information structure.
- 180
   4. Records and receipts provided as specified in Convention 108+, Art 31 Record of Processing Activity (RoPA). The consent receipt is effectively a digital twin, which is a mirrored notice and consent record, which is also held by the individual. This Record can then effectively become the authoritative consent record.
- 184 5. A Notice Receipt can be created by any stakeholder to identify a PII Controller.
- 185
   6. An Anchored Notice and Consent Receipt can be used as a record of consent to access data subjects' rights for example, and/or to test and assess the operational performance of PII Controllers' digital privacy in digital contexts.
- Part 1 of the scheme introduces 4 Transparency Performance Indicators; these are used to
   measure and rate the conformance of transparency. In Part 2 of the scheme (in the

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc.

190 Appendix A) a transparency information request is sent to the controller to; a) test the

191 controller information and, b) measure how compliant the performance of digital

192 transparency is, to both legal expectations and the personal privacy expectations of PII

193 Principal.

Cocument Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

#### **TERMS & DEFINITIONS** 1 194

#### 195

#### 196 Normative to Council of Europe, Convention 108+,

The normative language for the TPI Scheme is defined by Convention 108+ the 197

commonwealth privacy convention the GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation) mirrors. 198 Convention 108+ was created to establish a set of principles and rules to effectively

199

200 safeguard personal data and facilitate cross-border data flows

201 Normative terms for roles defined in national law are mapped to the roles which are defined 202 according to an international adequacy baseline.

204 ISO/IEC 29100 is also normative, this security and privacy framework standard maps terms 205 in the standard itself, for example PII Principal is mapped to the Data Subject.

206

203

207 The ANCR Record Framework is used to specify Transparency Performance Indicators

(TPIs) and is based on the consent receipt work where roles are mapped to standards and 208 209 laws.

210

Stakeholder	Conv 108+	GDPR	ISO/IEC 29100	PIPEDA
Data Regulator				
Data Subject	х	х	PII Principal	
Data Controller	Controller	х	PII Controller	Organization
Data Processor	Processor			
Joint-Controller				
Sub-Processor				
Data Subject	X, Individual	х	PII Principal	Individual

211 (compliance roles, mapped to be interoperable within any data privacy framework)

212

Roles in this document refer to the relationship between the Individual and any digital 213 214 service.

- 215
- 216

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023

Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

#### Introduction 217

Transparency Performance Indicator's (TPIs) are introduced here as the object of 218 conformity to capture the presentation of PII Controller (Credential) information, and 219 220 to determine the operational capacity of the information in conformance Conv 108+ 221 and personal expectations.

222

223 The TPIs are used to create an ANCR (Anchored Notice and Consent Receipt) Record, which is presentable as a 'proof of notice' (or knowledge) claim, the object for both 224 conformity, and compliance assessments, presented in this scheme. 225

The TPI scheme, to test the performance of digital transparency with a privacy request. 226 227 This is used to determine how dynamic the performance of transparency and consent 228 is for using data subject rights, independently of the service provider, and relative to 229 context.

- The TPIs presented pinpoint 4 metrics that can be used to measure the conformance 230 of transparency and the integrity of consent in the relevant data capture context. 231
- The TPIs assess the operational capacity of the required and presented PII Controller 232 Identity and Contact attributes, or meta-information. The TPIs measure the existence 233 234 and performance of the publicly required digital service information. The TPIs check 235 digital components, identifying the governance model, authority, and security framework to assure the validity of privacy state in an online service context. Providing 236 237 privacy risk assurance for people.
- The ANCR record produced from a TPI Assessment captures digital governance and 238 surveillance context. Capturing at the point of presentation PII Controller Identifiers, 239 240 privacy rights access point(s), and importantly, under which digital governance 241 framework personal data processing is being governed.
- The ANCR record, in which the PII Principle is the holder and controller of this record, 242 can be presented as a micro-notice claim and used as a credential to engage PII 243
- Controller privacy services and track the PII Controller performance. 244
- 245 Most assessments for conformance of privacy information or services are mapped to analogue legal requirements which measure response times in days, out of technical 246 247 context. TPIs all measure how dynamic privacy service information is in context, and 248 provide a rating, from -3 to +1, in which +1 is for a Dynamic, in context transparency 249 performance indicator. This introduces the concept of a shared active privacy state transparency, comprised of the signal that indicates if the privacy as expected in 250
- context.
- 251
- 252

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

## <sup>253</sup> Why was this specification written?

At the time of writing this specification, transparency and consent is governed predominately by commercial governance frameworks that utilize digital identity management technologies to identify people. At the same time the associated services do not identify themselves in a standard way online, which is neither compliant nor conformant, presenting critical cybersecurity risks.

259 Individuals are forced to give up digital privacy to access analog privacy services

260 online. All the records of digital relationships are kept by services, (if they keep

261 records at all). Without our own records of digital relationships Individuals are not

able to access the information necessary to measure privacy and security and meet a

threshold for notice and a basis for processing, including and importantly consent.

264 These risks and harms are exacerbated when PII Principals use privacy services online.

265 PII identifiers, by default, are captured and collected at an attribute level (known also

as meta-data). This means individuals must relinquish control over these attributes and

267 digital privacy, to access online services. These "security" technologies themselves are
268 used to profile and track data subjects presenting systemic challenges to accessing

269 privacy in a meaningful way for the PII Principal.

270 The second systemic obstacle is that individuals do not have their own records of digital

identity relationships. The lack of records prevents people from being able to exerciserights.

273 A notice receipt and consent record address this systemic and root challenge, with

274 proof of notice, which is required to be present as evidence consent. This Transparency

275 Performance Scheme is a first step towards the evidence of consent missing in today's

- 276 online services.
- 277

## <sup>278</sup> Why Transparency Performance Indicators?

Currently, there is no way for people to see who is tracking them and to understandhow digitally exposed one is, in any given surveillance context, whether physical ordigital.

TPIs assess when the notice is presented, if the notice information provided is contextually relevant, if the contact information is fake or not, is it usable reciprocally, and proportionally, and if a digital service can represent policy and security required for digital privacy. The information and understanding gained from applying these indicators is a necessary precondition for any processing of personal data and meaningful consent.

Digital transparency requires standard purpose specification to include who benefits, how they benefit, and where the benefit and value originates. This is required and unfortunately mostly missing security information. It is assessed and presented in a standard credential, record, and receipt format in the Scheme. Without a standardized notification and presentation format to govern identity management, it is difficult for a Data Subject to make a trust decision, and impossible in a multi-service context, limiting the capacity to trust any services provided online.

The invisible risks need to be presented relevant to the context to allow an informed choice about whether to consent, withdraw consent, or even pause consent to a service.

- 297 and/or to stop tracking for a particular private context.
- 298

This scheme and assessment make these risks transparent. TPIs conformity and compliance assessment for digital transparency dramatically improves safety, security,

301 privacy usability, and awareness for all stakeholders.

# 302 About the Scheme

303 The TPI Scheme presented here is scoped to specify the public digital transparency at a

- self-assurance level referred to as level 0 transparency assurance in the ANCR Framework.
   The framework includes:
- A conformity and compliance assessment scheme, implemented in 2 parts to generate a full
   operational transparency report.

308	•	TPI Scheme 1 Part 1 - Conformance
309		<ul> <li>Initial test to diagnose the operational capacity of privacy services in any</li> </ul>
310		specific context.
311	•	TPI Scheme 1 Part 2 – Compliance (found in Appendix A)
312		• Specifies an example operational transparency compliance performance test,
313		in which the transparency is tested by generating a privacy rights-based

314 request, to access privacy services.

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023

Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc.

315 316 317	Part 1 refers to conformance with digital identifier elements of the PII Controller required to be presented to initiate a session and is the body of this document.	
318 319 320 321	Part 2 is Appendix A and uses the ANCR record to audit the Adequacy of the captured practice as specified in the Council of Europe, Conv. 108+. Article 14, Transparency Modalities.	
322 323 324	The 4 Transparency Performance Indicators capture transparency and data capture practices in context and are used to test the self-asserted information for its operational usability.	
325		
326 327 328 329 330 331	These 4 TPIs and Scheme 1, Part 1, and Scheme 1 Part 2 can be used together with the Appendices for its public interest application, as well as for the demonstration of an Controller credential encompassing the TPIs and associated assessment. The scheme is directed at providing a basis for required public security and privacy transparency assurance.	
332 333 334 335 336 337	TPIs specified focus is on the initial point of contact. This includes the publicly required information that MUST be provided and refers to the PII Controller Identity and Contact information, which is required in all legal privacy instruments. Transparency, in this regard, is a universal requirement, and required for not only as free, prior, and informed consent to scale as digital privacy online but also a means of governing and providing trust in authority.	

338 The TPIs here are used to assess session-based data capture and self-asserted

- information by organizations to specify a public level of trust assurance that is
   provided in an online context.<sup>3</sup>
- 341

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Note to reader: The ANCR Record Framework presents 4 levels of transparency assurance for PII Controller (Notice) Credentials, which can be use in 3 vectors of digital governance; 1. Personal data control 2. Data Protection 3. Co-regulation, which is what is assessed in this document at assurance level 0.

	ANCR: Digital Transparency Performance Scheme
342	TPI 1 - Measuring the Timing of PII Controller Identity
343	Notification:
344 345 346	This TPI captures <b>when</b> the Controller's legal entity and accountable Privacy Officer (digital identifiers) provide notice of their identity. This is measured to see if the notice is delivered
347 348 349 350	<ul> <li>i) Before,</li> <li>ii) At the time of,</li> <li>iii) During, or</li> <li>iv) After</li> </ul>
351	Personally identifiable information is captured. <u>3</u>
352 353 354 355 356	By assessing dynamic and operational transparency, as opposed to static, infrequent information, it provides a way for an individual to assess if they can trust a service or not. This is also assessing compliance with Article 14.1, and specifically defined in Article, 15 1, a) and b)
357 358	Information to be provided where personal data are collected from the data subject
359 360 361	1. Where personal data relating to a data subject are collected from the data subject, the controller shall, at the time when personal data are obtained, provide the data subject with all of the following information:
362	(a) the identity and the contact details of the controller;
363	(b) the contact details of the data protection officer;

## 364 TPI 2 - Measures Required Data Elements

This TPI captures whether the required security and privacy attributes are provided,<sup>4</sup>
These are required to provide the PII Controller information for all accountable parties.
Namely *who* and *what* information about them is legally required. In "all" cases, there
a requirement for a Notice of who is processing your data, who is accountable, and
the privacy contact information for access to personal information and rights, and is
also required. [Art 14.1]

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is the most common legislated privacy element in the world, required and mappable to all privacy legislation and instruments. <u>(ISTPA 2007)p.64</u>

A *first-time notice* must exhibit two (2) factors (2FN), 1) is the notice adequate as notice
of risk, and 2) are the practices relating to permissions permitted by the purpose,
accepted, which can then be used as proof of notice by the data subject.

Thes following Digital Privacy transparency elements are the minimum required tooperationalize transparency and accountability.

- 376 i) Legal Entity Identity Name,
- 377 ii) Address, Contact information
- 378 iii) Name or role of Data Privacy Officer (or the authoritative owner and379 Accountable Person (AP) in charge of that legal entity.
- 380 iv) Privacy services access and contact point information.
- 381 v) Privacy or other policy governing the processing of personal information.
- 382 vi) Transparency information before use
- 383 a. Digital governance framework
- 384 b. Legal Basis for Purpose of initial Processing of PII
- 385 c. Recipients or categories of recipients if any
- 386 d. Transfer of data on networks out of Country, to a 3<sup>rd</sup> Country,
- 387 e. The existence of adequacy,
- 388 f. Existence of safeguards, where to get a copy of them, or where they have
   389 been made available.<sup>5</sup>

## 390 TPI 3 - Measure of Transparency Accessibility

This TPI measures the performance of transparency in terms of *accessibility* to the information in TPI 2. For example, is the information readily available, ideally prior to the digital session and capture of PII. For example, is TPI-2 information presented in a pop-up notice at the initiation of a digital service session, or is it required to click a link, e.g., to a privacy policy, and then access additional link. , Is the operational transparency information on the first screen, or is it at the bottom reached only after scrolling multipages, with links not highlighted, and not accessible to children or parents.

In this way TPI 3 measures Informational accessibility, is a key transparency metric that indicates if the context is digital privacy capable of being inclusive and accessible and trustworthy. This measure is extended to include the exercise of rights on the part of the PII Principal to determine how adequately Controllers respond.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> An international repository would be an ideal for framework when accessing thes first-time sign or notice.

#### ANCD. Disital Transportance Darfarmance Cohama

## 403 TPI 4: A Measures security information integrity

This TPI captures the relevant digital certificates, (e.g. x.509), or security token (e.g. JOSE) keys to compare the security meta-data, and policy objects against the required information in TPI 2. It also checks for consistency and continuity in the security provided and is it adequate for the task. E.g., does an SSL certificate Organization Unit field and Jurisdiction fields match the captured legal entity information? How do the policy and jurisdiction there relate to other beneficial entities? Importantly does this align with the policy expectations of the person?

## 412 TPI Metrics

## 413 Transparency Performance Rating

The TPI Rating system is designed to measure dynamically the operational transparency and performance of the required security and privacy information and its usability. The scale applied penalizes bad behavior more than it rewards conformance and compliance from +1 "good" to -3 "bad". These are presented one by one and then in a table for comparison followed by an example in the next section.

420 For TPI 1:

419

420	FOR TPLT:
421 422 423	<ul> <li>+1 refers to the existence of a technical framework and PII Controller transparency <i>prior</i> to the initiation of a session. This provides security-based trust assurances for the data subject.</li> </ul>
424	• 0 refers providing dynamic transparency in context <i>at the start</i> (which is at the
425	time of collection), including purpose and other required disclosures,
426	-1 refers to where the legally required information is presented at some point in
427	the session.
428	-2 refers to the provision of low quality legally required information.
429 430	<ul> <li>-3 refers to the provision of non-operable, non-compliant, unusable transparency and digital privacy related information.</li> </ul>
431	For TPI 2
432 433	<ul> <li>+1 is given for each of the Controller information of the elements</li> <li>-3 if the information is missing.</li> </ul>
434	For TPI 3
435 436 437 438	<ul> <li>+1 for meeting legal requirements for responsiveness for each of the required PII Controller information categories.</li> <li>-2 for response but not within legal requirements</li> <li>-3 if information unavailable</li> </ul>
439	For TPI 4
440 441 442 443	<ul> <li>+1 for the contextual integrity of each the security features</li> <li>0 if information available but not immediately or easily accessible</li> <li>-3 for each integrity mismatch</li> </ul>
444	

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023

Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

#### ANCD: Digital Transportance: Darfarmance Cohama

## 445 Table 1: Transparency Performance Indicator Record Ratings

#### 446 The following shows how TPIs work together as timing is relevant to all the TPIs.

	TPI 1	TPI 2	TPI 3	TPI 4
Rating	Timing of Notice	Content of Notice	Access to Content	Security Integrity
+1 (assured)	Before Transparency of control - governance required information	Controller Information - Credential is registered and present	Controller identity is presented prior to data collection	Security demonstrated prior to data collection (browser and digital wallet based)
0 (contemporaneous assurance)	Just in time, At the time of	Notice/credential is presented just in time (automated check and first-time notice)	Embedded as a credential linked to authoritative registries.	Is assured -e.g., certificate is specific to and matches controller and context
-1 (analogue assurance - online)	During	Controller information is accessible during collection	PII Controller Identity prominently displayed on first view – prior to processing first page of viewing, the assessment question would be	not-specific to controller - does not match jurisdiction
-2 - (not mandatory in flow)	Available	Controller information is linked	Link not presented	E.g., available but does not match OU or CN
- 3 (non- operative)	After	Controller information not present	Identity or credential is not accessible in context - e.g., two or more screens away, or privacy contact is mailing address and non-operative in context of data collection.	Valid issuer, cryptography, expiration, or policy NOT provided.

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023

Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

# 447 Table 2: Transparency Performance Indicator Record Rating

448 Example

Field Name	Field Description	Requirement:	TPI 1	TPI 2	TPI 3	TPI 4 Certificate or Key
Notice Location	Location of where was read / observed	MUST	At time of 0	Present +1		Match +1
PII Controller Name	Name of presented organization	MUST	At time of 0	Present +1	Responsible entity verified +1	Match (CN, OU) +1
PII Controller Address	Physical organization Address	MUST	At time of 0	Present +1	Location accessible +1	Not match -3
Privacy Contact Point	Location / address of Contact Point	MUST	Not present -3	Not Present -3	Point of contact verified +1	Not match -3
Privacy Contact Method	Contact method for correspondence with PII Controller	MUST	Information linked -1	Present +1	Response in required time +1	Match +1
Session key or Certificate	A certificate for monitored practice	MUST	At time of 0	Present +1	Not Expired +1	Not contextually valid -3

449

450

451

Cocument Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

#### ANCP · Diaital Transparancy Parformance Scheme

## 452 Summary

453 In summary, Transparency Performance Indicators (TPIs) are specified here for people

to use in context in combination with out of session elements, independently of

455 service providers to gain an understanding of digital identifier relationships. TPIs are456 digital transparency tools used to self-determine how much a service in context can

457 be trusted.

458 These TPIs are designed to work with open standards, and licenses, e.g. ANCR WG

459 royalty free license, and open-source software to provide adequate, and scalable

460 Transparency conformance. Transparency tools are required to be open in multiple

461 ways so that people can use and create records they can own and keep across and

- independently of service providers. It is a cornerstone of agency that the scheme putsin place.
- TPI 1 is a measure of trust, so that when asked, "Do you trust (accept) a service", you necessarily know who is processing your data before, during or after."
- 466 Overwhelmingly people indicate trust would be higher. if notified prior to data

467 capture, which only makes sense.

- 468 TPI 2 is the legally required attributes, present and available. Are they machine469 readable
- TPI 3 is an indicator of how accessible, and inclusive, digital transparency is. Are thetransparency attributes machine readable.
- 472 TPI 4 validates for the individual if security "matching the controller jurisdiction" to
- 473 addresses a critical cross-border security challenge widely overlooked today.
- 474
- 475 This is a 1.0 document; we look forward to its evolution.

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

# 476 APPENDIX A: TPI COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT SCHEME 477 PART 2

#### 478 A.1 Operational Transparency Assessment

479 480	The following describes an assessment using the TPIs to means Operational Transparency and assurance.						
481 482 483 484 485	Most often for the PII Principal there are missing, but required for operational digital governance, identifying attributes, controlled, and held by PII Controllers with commercial interests. This scheme looks to systemically capture and control these attributes as digital commons assets turned into public infrastructure to support Operational Transparency.						
486	i) Transparency is required to be available in context, i.e., during the time when						
487	PII is obtained (found in Transparency Statement or Privacy Policy). <sup>6</sup>						
488	a. Time period data stored.						
489	<ul> <li>Existence of rights/controls to access and rectify.</li> </ul>						
490	c. Existence of right to manage consent.						
491	d. Existence of right to lodge a complaint with a Data Protection Authority						
492	(DPA).						
493	e. Whether processing is based under a statutory, or contractual context, or						
494	whether necessary for entering a contract, if the PII is obliged, and the						
495	consequences of failure to provide this data. <sup>7</sup>						
496	f. Existence of						
497	i. Al, or any automated decision-making technology						
498	ii. Digital identity management surveillance technologies						
499	iii. Any profiles, or graphs generated						
500	iv. Meaningful information about the logic involved						
501	1. It significance in overall policy or processing						
502	2. Expected consequences for and to PII Principal - Data Subject						
503							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A second factor notice must be linked to the first notice receipt/record to provide proof of notice and state.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  This is missing from CoE 108+ - but required element to include in the Code of Conduct.

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

#### **APPENDIX B: TPI ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE** 504

The TPI Rating system is designed to measure the operational performance of the 505

506 information, for example if only a mailing address is provided for a privacy contact on 507 a website, this is considered non-operable according to the context. This means that

privacy access and specific information is not retrievable in the context of data 508

collection. The TPIs measure adequacy and demonstrate non-performance by PII 509 510 Controllers as a form of data co-governance.

- 511
- The associated Conformity Assessment: uses the open ISO/IEC 29100 security framework for generating interoperable records and receipts of data processing 512
- activity, according to transparency in context. 513
- 514

#### B.1 TPIs are captured in sequence 515

a. TPI 1 measuring the point when the individual is notified versus when personal 516

517 information/digital identifiers are collected and processed. The scheme starts by

capturing the timing of notice presentation in relation to first data capture, and first 518 contact.8 519

520 b. TPI 2 measuring the contents of the notification for required PII Controller digital

521 attributes that correspond to the physical brick and mortar attributes specified in

privacy, security, safety, and surveillance legislation. This is the PII Controller identity 522

and entity information and access point. 523

- 524 c. TPI 3 measures how usable are the contents (information record) of the PII
- Controller entity, and its identity information and access point. 525
- d. TPI 4 validates the coherence of cybersecurity information versus the digital 526
- transparency information capturing the SSL certificate and/or tokens/keys and 527
- 528 associated meta-data (e.g. object identifiers, and certificate policies).
- Combined, these TPIs provide an overall Indication of the operational state of digital 529 530 privacy.

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Flows for return visits can make use of receipts that capture the state of the relationship on first contact, and record and maintain any change of state thereafter for any use by any controller, including joint controllers, sub-controllers, processors, and sub-processors.

## 531 B.2 TPI – Scheme 1, Part 1(S1-P1) metric logic

Rating - Instruction	TPI 1 Timing (with regards to processing)	TPI 2 Required Information	TPI 3 Accessibility	TPI 4 - Digital Security
+1 (assured)	PII Controller credential is displayed, using a standard format with machine readable language, and linked, for example, in an http header in a browser	The Controller is discoverable prior to session (out of band) in a machine-readable format: 1.Controller Registry 2.A client-side record of processing (via a wallet or browser)	Controller identity is presented prior to data collection	Security is required prior to collection (digital wallet based)
0 (dynamic assurance)	PII Controller Identity or credential is provided in first notice	Credential is presented just in time (automated check and first-time notice)	Embedded as a credential and dynamically available upon access (almost just in time)	is assured -e.g., certificate is specific to and matches controller and context
-1 (analogue assurance - online)	The Controller Identity, or screen with the Controller Identity is one screen and click away. For example, the privacy policy link in the footer of a webpage	controller information is accessible (not presented) during collection	PII Controller Identity prominently displayed on first view – prior to processing first page of viewing	not-specific to controller - does not match jurisdiction
-2 - (not mandatory in flow)		Controller Credential information is linked during collection	is linked not presented	does not match ou
-3 (non- operative)	PII Controller Identity is not accessible enough to be considered 'provided'	Controller information not present	Identity or credential is not accessible in context - e.g., two or more screens of view away, or privacy contact is mailing g address and non- operative in context of data collection.	It is not a valid, secure, or recognized provider. Not security operational (proving nonreciprocal security assurance)

Document Date: Dec 19, 2023

Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc.

532

#### 533 B.3 1.2. Table 2: ANCR Record Schema Example

This appendix is an example of a notice record and the schema and can be used as a template for the information record, rating, and analysis.

536

FIELD NAME	FIELD DESCRIPTION	REQUIREMENT: MUST, SHALL, MAY	FIELD DATA EXAMPLE
Notice Location	Location the notice was read/observed	MUST	Walmart.com (actual link)
PII Controller Name	Name of presented business	MUST	Walmart
Controller Address	The physical address of controller and/or accountable person	MUST	1940 Argentina Road Mississauga, Ontario L5N 1P9
PII Controller Contact Type	Contact method for correspondence with PII Controller	MUST	Email, phone
PII Controller- Correspondence Contact	General contact point	SHALL	Privacy@org.com
Privacy Contact Type	The Contact method provided for access to privacy contact	MUST	Email, or other
Privacy Contact Point	Location/address of Contact Point	MUST	Org.com/privacy.html
Session Certificate	A certificate for monitored practice	Optional	TLS, Transparency, Policy (OID) Context

Cocument Date: Dec 19, 2023 Kantara Initiative Technical Specification Recommendation © 2023 Kantara Initiative, Inc. www.kantarainitiative.org

#### 537 APPENDIX C: DIGITAL TRANSPARENCY CODE OF 538 CONDUCT

539 These digital transparency code of conduct rules coincide with the TPIs presented and 540 reference the international adequacy requirements for transparency required for digital

- identifier management. In <u>Report on the Adequacy of Digital Identity Governance</u> for cross
   border transparency and consent:
- 543

544 PII Controller must:

- Provide their PII Controller Notice Credentials, before or at the time of processing
   personal information (TPI 1), Article 14.1
- 547 2. PII Controller credential information must be accessible
- 548 3. PII Controller credential information must be operationally capable for access to
   549 rights with evidence of notice & consent
- 550
   4. The security context must match the controller's jurisdiction where it is assumed PII
   551 is processed

## 552 Endnotes

553

554	<sup>1</sup> Lizar, M, Pandit, H, Jesus, V, "Privacy as expected Consent Gateway", Next
555	Generation Internet (NGI) Grant [Access July 4] privacy-as-expected.org/

556